DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Wireline Competition Bureau Telecommunications Access Policy Division 445 12th St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JUL 2 9 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

July 29, 2004

Copies by Facsimile Transmission; Originals by U.S. Mail

| In the Matter of |) | |
|---|---|---------------------|
| |) | |
| Request for Review by Big River |) | CC Docket No. 96-45 |
| Telephone Company, LLC of |) | |
| Decision of Universal Service Administrator |) | |

John Jennings Vice President – Controller 24 S. Minnesota Avenue Cape Girardeau, MO 63703 Fax: (573)651-3605

Dear Mr. Jennings:

On April 14, 2004, Big River Telephone Company, LLC (Big River) filed with the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) an appeal of an Administrator's Decision issued by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) pursuant to section 54.719 et seq. of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.719 et seq. The Administrator's Decision stated that Big River's appeal was untimely filed and USAC's adjustments to Big River's account was proper and correctly applied.

We must inform you that your appeal fails to comply with section 54.721(b)(2) of the Commission's rules, which requires that a request for review of a decision by USAC contain "[a] full statement of relevant, material facts with supporting affidavits and documentation." 47 C.F.R. § 54.721(b)(2) (emphasis added). We interpret this rule to require that every factual assertion underlying the appeal be supported by an affidavit signed by an officer of the appellant, or other knowledgeable individual. In addition, section 54.721(c) requires that a copy of the request for review shall be served upon the Administrator consistent with the Commission's rules for service of documents. 47 C.F.R. § 54.721(c). Your appeal fails to include a certificate for service of process in accordance with sections 1.47 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.47.

We do not dismiss your appeal based on these deficiencies. However, we request that you file an amended appeal that fully conforms to the Commission's requirements within two weeks of the date of this letter. Such an amended appeal will relate back to the date of the original request for review. If an amended appeal is filed more than two weeks after the date of this letter, the normal filing deadlines located in section 54.720 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.720 will apply, which may bar some or all of the relief sought in this matter.

This letter ruling is issued pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), and 254, and authority delegated by sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291.

Sincerely,

Cathy Carpino

Deputy Division Chief

Telecommunications Access Policy Division

Wireline Competition Bureau